FEB 0 6 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Shashank Sharma and Mahendra Kumar Sunkara

Filed: June 29, 2001

Examiner: Johnson E. Group Art Unit: 1754

Serial No:

09/896,834

For:

LOW TEMPERATURE SYNTHESIS OF

SEMICONDUCTOR FIBERS

Atty. Docket No.: AD138/2001

Mail Stop:

Commissioner of Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR 1.8

THE UNDERSIGNED CERTIFIES THAT THIS DOCUMENT IS BEING PLACED IN AN ENVELOPE ADDRESSED TO COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS, P.O. BOX 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313, AND DEPOSITED AS FIRST CLASS MAIL, POSTAGE PREPAID, THIS 2 DAY OF _______2004.

(Signature)

(Typed or Printed Name)

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

In response to the restriction requirement set forth in the Office Action mailed October 1, 2003, Applicant hereby provisionally elects Group I. (Claims 1-2) for examination with traverse.

The Examiner has required restriction between: (Claims 1-2 and 3).

Applicant elects to prosecute claims 1-2, and acknowledges the Examiner's withdrawal of claim 3 from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention

In the present case, although the claimed subject matter may be classified in different subclasses, the inventions are not independent and this classification by itself is an insufficient basis for requiring restriction between the claims. semiconductor fibers of Group I and silicon fibers of Group II are so intertwined as to be essentially a single invention linked together to provide a novel method of synthesizing semiconductor Applicant submits that the fibers of silicon of other material. processes are linked together as one invention and that the combination of the claims are supported for both processes and the one skilled in the art would search in both subclasses just as the Examiner has done in the present case because of the appearance of the same claimed invention of Group I when viewed by an observer and therefore the claimed invention of Group I and Group II should be considered a single entity. Therefore, the claims to the process of synthesizing silicon fibers as claimed in claim 3 must be examined along with the elected claims to the process of synthesizing semiconductor fibers of Group I.

the restriction respectfully requests that Applicant requirement be withdrawn with respect to Groups I and II, and that the claims to the process of synthesizing semiconductor fibers and silicon fibers presently pending in this application be examined as claiming one invention.

Respectfully submitted,

Carrithers, Esq. David W.

CARRITHERS LAW OFFICE, PLLC

One Paragon Centre

6060 Dutchman's Lane, Ste 140 Louisville, KY 40205

Telephone (502) 452-1233

Req. No. 35,475